

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMI	ED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/941,236	09/30/97	LUGUERN		J	Q46923
		WM02/0717	٦		EXAMINER
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS				BOAKYE	. А
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON I	OC 20037-32	02		2663 DATE MAILED	. 14
		•			07/17/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/941,236

Advisory Action

Applicant(s)

Luguern et al.

Exa	mine	r

Alexander Boakye

Art Unit 2663



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>May 10, 2000</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) X The period for reply expires6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ exhibit,
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. 🗵 For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed:
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a has b has not been approved by the Examine
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
11. Other: HUY D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/941,236

Art Unit: 2733

1. Page 2 of amendment dated 12/2/2000 has been received and considered. However, the rejection of claim 1 still holds for the following reasons:

A) Applicant argues that Hanson does not teach determining a factor related to the rate at which packets are transmitted over the virtual connection towards the destination equipment wherein the factor is based on the length of the queue and the time taken to transmit the factor to the data-rate management processor to prevent the queue from overflowing.

Hanson discloses determining a channel utilization factor related to the rate as which packets are transmitted over the virtual connection towards the destination customer-premises equipment (column 5, lines 63-66). The claimed data-rate management processor reads on a central processing unit (column 5, line 41).

HUY D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

wolldry